

Targeting fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) pathway in renal cell carcinoma

Francesco Massari, Chiara Ciccarese, Matteo Santoni, Antonio Lopez-Beltran, Marina Scarpelli,

Rodolfo Montironi & Liang Cheng

Abstract

Fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) pathway is involved in driving vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-independent tumor angiogenesis, as a compensatory mechanism to escape VEGF-targeted therapies. Therefore, targeting FGF/FGFR axis seems to be a promising strategy in order to inhibit tumor angiogenesis and reduce resistance to VEGF receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors. This editorial is focused on the role of FGF/FGFR pathway in renal cell carcinoma and on the ongoing trials of emerging agents targeting this axis.

Keywords

fibroblast growth factor receptor, kidney, personalized medicine, precision medicine, renal cell carcinoma, targeted therapy, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor

Introduction

Angiogenesis is the hallmark of kidney cancer development and progression. Therefore, the crucial drivers of metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) – VEGF and mTOR signaling pathways – represent fundamental targets of the current approved therapies. Certainly, VEGF receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (VEGFR-TKIs) (sunitinib, sorafenib, pazopanib and axitinib)

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Massari, F., Ciccarese, C., Santoni, M., Lopez-Beltran, A., Scarpelli, M., Montironi, R., & Cheng, L. (2015). Targeting fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) pathway in renal cell carcinoma. *Expert Review of Anticancer Therapy*, 15(12), 1367–1369. <http://doi.org/10.1586/14737140.2015.1110488>

and mTOR-inhibitors (everolimus and temsirolimus) have dramatically revolutionized the treatment algorithm and the prognosis of mRCC patients [1]; however, almost all patients invariably develop tumor progression through primarily (intrinsic) or acquired resistance mechanisms.[2]

Determinants of VEGF-targeted therapies response and the molecular bases underlying the antiangiogenic escape are far from being entirely understood.[3,4] This presents a substantial barrier to the achievement of complete durable responses to anticancer treatments.

The inhibition of the major regulator of angiogenesis, VEGF, results in vascular regression and consequent tumor response to treatment. However, the creation of intratumoral hypoxic regions triggers the hyperexpression of other proangiogenic factors responsible for mediating angiogenesis reactivation and therefore tumor treatment's resistance and progression. Several growth factors (PIGF, FGF, angiopoietin-1, IL8, Ephrin-A1), which are upregulated in the tumor microenvironment during VEGFR2-blocking treatments, may stimulate tumor angiogenesis to overcome acquired resistance to VEGFR inhibition.[5–7] In particular, activation of the proangiogenic fibroblast growth factor (FGF) pathway seems to play a key role in driving VEGF-independent tumor angiogenesis as a compensatory mechanism to elude VEGF-targeted therapies.[7]

FGF/fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) signaling promotes vascularization (vessel assembly, sprouting and branching), lymphangiogenesis and cellular growth in several human malignancies,[8–10] including RCC.[11] Interestingly, increased basic-FGF plasma concentrations correlate with high tumor grade and stage,[12] metastatic spreading [13] and poor prognosis [14] in kidney cancer patients. Moreover, FGF serum levels significantly increase in mRCC patients with disease progression while receiving sunitinib therapy, supporting the

potential FGF-pathway role as a potent mediator of endothelial cell resistance to VEGFR inhibitors.[15,16]

Emerging FGF/FGFR inhibitors

Targeting antiangiogenic escape via FGF-pathway blockade is a promising strategy after progression on VEGF-inhibitors therapy. Several molecules capable of simultaneously inhibiting both VEGF and FGF have been developed.

Dovitinib, a TKI that targets FGFR, PDGFR and VEGFR,[17] failed in demonstrating a progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival advantage over sorafenib in the third-line setting after progression to VEGFR and mTOR inhibitors, not corroborating the strong preclinical rational of targeting the FGF pathway critical for antiangiogenic escape.[18] The main criticism of this phase 3 study (the GOLD trial) lies in the inappropriate timing of FGF inhibition (immediately after failure of an mTOR inhibitor).[19] During mTOR inhibition treatment, in fact, cancer cells could restore a VEGF-driven angiogenesis (rather than FGF), given the temporary effects of VEGF-inhibitor resistance.[20] The wrong timing, and probably not the wrong target, compromised the clinical approval of dovitinib, at least at the moment. A phase 2 study (DILIGENCE-1) is ongoing, evaluating the first-line activity of dovitinib in RCC, with a preplanned exploratory tumor gene status analysis aimed at identifying possible ways in which the tumor becomes resistant to dovitinib (NCT01791387).

Indirect evidence of greater efficacy by blocking FGFR immediately after the occurrence of VEGFR-TKIs resistance comes from the impressive results of another FGFR inhibitor, lenvatinib. Lenvatinib is a potent oral TKI of VEGF- and FGF-driven angiogenesis, which showed an acceptable safety profile and an encouraging antitumor activity in preclinical models

[21] and in patients with multiple solid tumors, including mRCC in phase 1 studies.[22,23] A phase 1b clinical trial of lenvatinib plus everolimus in mRCC revealed a manageable safety profile with no unexpected toxic manifestations, and a promising antitumor activity,[24] highlighting the solid rationale of concurrently blocking critical signaling pathways activated in RCC–VEGF, FGF and mTOR pathways.[25] At the 2015 ASCO Annual Meeting Motzer and colleagues presented the impressive results of a randomized phase 2 study comparing lenvatinib ± everolimus, versus everolimus alone in 153 mRCC patients who progressed on one prior VEGF-targeted therapy.[26] Lenvatinib improved response rate (highest response rate and duration with combination treatment than with lenvatinib alone) and PFS over everolimus both alone and in combination with everolimus (mPFS 5.5 vs 7.4 vs 14.6 months), while a significant survival benefit was observed only with the combination regimen (Hazard ratio [HR]: 0.51; 95% CI: 0.30–0.88; $p = 0.024$), at the price of greater toxicity. A phase 3 randomized trial of the combination in mRCC is planned to confirm these promising results.

Several novel emerging TKI molecules that recognize FGFR as a target are currently under study for the treatment of RCC, with conflicting data. Among them, brivanib, a dual VEGFR-2 and FGFR-1 TKI, demonstrated promising antiangiogenic and antitumor activity with manageable toxicity in advanced solid tumor patients.[27] We are awaiting the results of a phase 2, open-label trial conducted to assess the activity of brivanib in refractory mRCC patients (NCT01253668).

As for regorafenib (BAY 73-4506), a multikinase inhibitor targeting VEGFR, c-kit, RET, FGFR, platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), RAF and p38MAPK, it was tested in a single-arm phase 2 trial (NCT00664326) conducted in 49 previously untreated advanced RCC patients,

showing antitumor activity as first-line treatment (27% PR and 42% SD), with a significant toxicity (35% of drug-related serious adverse events).[28]

In addition XL999, a small molecule inhibitor of multiple kinases including VEGFR, PDGFR, FGFR, FLT-3, and Src, was evaluated in a phase 2 study enrolling mRCC patients after failure of one anti-VEGF therapy (NCT00277316). The study was stopped due to the cardiac toxicities, impeding further development of this drug.

Furthermore, a phase 1 study (NCT02275910) is recruiting subjects with advanced solid tumors for testing the safety and tolerability of E7090, especially in patients with malignancies characterized by genetic abnormalities in FGF/FGFR pathway. On the other hand, the small molecule TKI PD173074, a potent reversible inhibitor of FGFR tyrosine kinase activity,[29] seems to inhibit FGF2-mediated resistance to sunitinib in preclinical models.[16]

Conclusion

A multidisciplinary integrated approach is needed for deeper understanding of tumor biology of RCC. An increasing number of molecules are emerging in the treatment landscape of this tumor. Recently, the multikinase inhibitor, cabozantinib, and the anti-PD1, nivolumab, join the list of active therapies, demonstrating significant overall survival benefit.[30,31] A major issue which remains is the selection of the best drug available for each specific patient. Only an in-depth study of the carcinogenesis biological bases and of the mechanisms underlying treatment resistance could enable radically changes of the cancer patient's prognosis with the development of true personalized therapy.

Financial & competing interests disclosure

The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

Acknowledgements

We thank Francesca Tartari for her assistance.

References

1. Escudier B, Szczylik C, Porta C, et al. Treatment selection in metastatic renal cell carcinoma: expert consensus. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2012;9(6):327–337.
2. Rini BI, Atkins MB. Resistance to targeted therapy in renal-cell carcinoma. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10(10):992–1000.
3. Buczek M, Escudier B, Bartnik E, et al. Resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in clear cell renal cell carcinoma: from the patient's bed to molecular mechanisms. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2014;1845(1):31–41.
4. Bergers G, Hanahan D. Modes of resistance to anti-angiogenic therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2008;8(8):592–603.
5. Fischer C, Jonckx B, Mazzone M, et al. Anti-PlGF inhibits growth of VEGF(R)-inhibitor-resistant tumors without affecting healthy vessels. *Cell*. 2007;131(3):463–475.
6. Huang D, Ding Y, Zhou M, et al. Interleukin-8 mediates resistance to antiangiogenic agent sunitinib in renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res*. 2010;70(3):1063–1071.
7. Casanovas O, Hicklin DJ, Bergers G, et al. Drug resistance by evasion of antiangiogenic targeting of VEGF signaling in late-stage pancreatic islet tumors. *Cancer Cell*. 2005;8(4):299–309.
8. Auguste P, Javerzat S, Bikfalvi A. Regulation of vascular development by fibroblast growth factors. *Cell Tissue Res*. 2003;314(1):157–166.
9. Korc M, Friesel RE. The role of fibroblast growth factors in tumor growth. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets*. 2009;9(5):639–651.

10. Presta M, Dell'Era P, Mitola S, et al. Fibroblast growth factor/fibroblast growth factor receptor system in angiogenesis. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2005;16(2):159–178.
11. Tsimafeyeu I, Demidov L, Stepanova E, et al. Overexpression of fibroblast growth factor receptors FGFR1 and FGFR2 in renal cell carcinoma. *Scand J Urol Nephrol.* 2011;45(3):190–195. [Taylor & Francis Online],
12. Rasmuson T, Grankvist K, Jacobsen J, et al. Impact of serum basic fibroblast growth factor on prognosis in human renal cell carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer.* 2001;37(17):2199–2203.
13. Fukata S, Inoue K, Kamada M, et al. Levels of angiogenesis and expression of angiogenesis-related genes are prognostic for organ-specific metastasis of renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer.* 2005;103(5):931–942.
14. Horstmann M, Merseburger AS, von der Heyde E, et al. Correlation of bFGF expression in renal cell cancer with clinical and histopathological features by tissue microarray analysis and measurement of serum levels. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2005;131(11):715–722.
15. Porta C, Paglino C, Imarisio I, et al. Changes in circulating pro-angiogenic cytokines, other than VEGF, before progression to sunitinib therapy in advanced renal cell carcinoma patients. *Oncology.* 2013;84(2):115–122.
16. Welte JC, Gourlaouen M, Powles T, et al. Fibroblast growth factor 2 regulates endothelial cell sensitivity to sunitinib. *Oncogene.* 2011;30(10):1183–1193.
17. Angevin E, Lopez-Martin JA, Lin CC, et al. Phase I study of dovitinib (TKI258), an oral FGFR, VEGFR, and PDGFR inhibitor, in advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2013;19(5):1257–1268.

18. Motzer RJ, Porta C, Vogelzang NJ, et al. Dovitinib versus sorafenib for third-line targeted treatment of patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma: an open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014;15(3):286–296.
19. Schmidinger M. Third-line dovitinib in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014;15(3):245–246.
20. Hammers HJ, Verheul HM, Salumbides B, et al. Reversible epithelial to mesenchymal transition and acquired resistance to sunitinib in patients with renal cell carcinoma: evidence from a xenograft study. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2010;9(6):1525–1535.
21. Yamamoto Y, Matsui J, Matsushima T, et al. Lenvatinib, an angiogenesis inhibitor targeting VEGFR/FGFR, shows broad antitumor activity in human tumor xenograft models associated with microvessel density and pericyte coverage. *Vasc Cell.* 2014;6:18.
22. Boss DS, Glen H, Beijnen JH, et al. A phase I study of E7080, a multitargeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with advanced solid tumours. *Br J Cancer.* 2012;106(10):1598–1604.
23. Yamada K, Yamamoto N, Yamada Y, et al. Phase I dose-escalation study and biomarker analysis of E7080 in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17(8):2528–2537.
24. Molina AM, Hutson TE, Larkin J, et al. A phase 1b clinical trial of the multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor lenvatinib (E7080) in combination with everolimus for treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma (RCC). *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2014;73(1):181–189.
25. Sosman JA, Puzanov I, Atkins MB. Opportunities and obstacles to combination targeted therapy in renal cell cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13(2 Pt 2):764s–69s.

26. Motzer R, Hutson TE, Glen H, et al. Randomized phase II, three-arm trial of lenvatinib (LEN), everolimus (EVE), and LEN+EVE in patients (pts) with metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC). J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(15_Suppl):abstract 4506.
27. Jonker DJ, Rosen LS, Sawyer MB, et al. A phase I study to determine the safety, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of a dual VEGFR and FGFR inhibitor, brivanib, in patients with advanced or metastatic solid tumors. Ann Oncol. 2011;22(6):1413–1419.
28. Eisen T, Joensuu H, Nathan PD, et al. Regorafenib for patients with previously untreated metastatic or unresectable renal-cell carcinoma: a single-group phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2012;13(10):1055–1062.
29. Mohammadi M, Froum S, Hamby JM, et al. Crystal structure of an angiogenesis inhibitor bound to the FGF receptor tyrosine kinase domain. EMBO J. 1998;17(20):5896–5904.
30. Choueiri TK, Escudier B, Powles T, et al. Cabozantinib versus everolimus in advanced renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2015.
31. Motzer RJ, Escudier B, McDermott DF, et al. Nivolumab versus everolimus in advanced renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2015.